



Registered with the Registrar
of Newspapers for India
under No. 10410

புதுச்சேரி மாநில அரசிதழ்

La Gazette de L'État de Poudouchéry

The Gazette of Puducherry

PART - I

சிறப்பு வெளியீடு

EXTRAORDINAIRE

EXTRAORDINARY

அதிகாரம் பெற்ற
வெளியீடு

Publiée par
Autorité

Published by
Authority

எண்	} 83	புதுச்சேரி	வெள்ளக்கிழமை	2026 ௨௦	ஏப்ரல் 10	10 ௨
No.		Poudouchéry	Vendredi	10	Avril	2026 (20 Chaitra 1948)
No.		Puducherry	Friday	10th	April	2026

IN THE COURT OF SUBDIVISIONAL MAGISTRATE (NORTH)
AT PUDUCHERRY

Present : Thiru DAYANAND TENDOLKAR,
Subdivisional Magistrate (North).

M.C. No. 32/2026 of I.R. No. 60/2026, Under Section 163 BNSS/689

ORDER

(UNDER SECTION 163 BNSS)

State Represented by the Station House Officer,
Muthialpet Police Station,
Puducherry.

. . . Petitioner

Vs.

Thiru Prabu @ Narayanan,
S/o. Ganesan,
No. 17, Rajagopal Giramani Thottam,
Chinnaiyapuram,
Muthialpet,
Puducherry.

. . . Counter Petitioner

[567]

This petition along with case sheet is filed by the Station House Officer, Muthialpet Police Station, Puducherry, praying to pass orders under section 163 BNSS, against Thiru Prabu @ Narayanan, to prevent imminent danger to human lives and properties and disturbance to the public tranquility and order, in the jurisdiction of this Court.

2. The Counter Petitioner is Thiru Prabu @ Narayanan, son of Ganesan, resident of No. 17, Rajagopal Giramani Thottam, Chinnaiyapuram, Muthialpet, Puducherry.

3. *The facts which led up to the petition are briefly as follows:* The case submitted by the Station House Officer, Muthialpet Police Station, Puducherry, through the Superintendent of Police (East), Puducherry *vide* I.R.No. 60/2026 under section 163 of BNSS, dated 09-03-2026. Therein, it has been stated that the Counter Petitioner has formed a gang of rowdies and bent upon indulging in crime such as antisocial activities by using deadly weapons and disturbance to the public tranquility and order. The Counter Petitioner has created panic in the minds of local inhabitants and the business people in the Muthialpet Police Station limits. It is also stated that he is leading lavish life with the money earned from the public and business people by threatening. As a result the people of the locality being afraid of the Counter Petitioner do not come forward to lodge any complaint against him. In this connection, the Petitioner had stated that the following criminal cases were registered against the Counter Petitioner.

Sl. No.	Case No.	Sections
(1)	(2)	(3)
1	169/2004	34 BB of PP Act
2	09/2005	341, 324, 506(ii) IPC r/w 34 IPC
3	42/2005	448, 427, 506(ii) IPC r/w 34 IPC
4	76/2005	12 of PG Act
5	127/2005	160 IPC
6	129/2005	341, 323, 506(ii) IPC
7	365/2005	326, 332, 506(ii) IPC r/w 34 IPC
8	56/2006	294, 323 IPC
9	259/2006	25 of Arms Act
10	317/2007	25 of Arms Act
11	68/2007	307 IPC r/w 34 IPC
12	154/2007	25 of Arms Act
13	211/2007	379 IPC
14	232/2007	384, 294, 324, 506(ii) IPC r/w 34 IPC
15	01/2008	3 of PDPP Act
16	26/2008	25 of Arms Act
17	116/2008	379 IPC

(1)	(2)	(3)
18	03/2009	448, 323, 427, 506(ii) IPC r/w 34 IPC
19	14/2011	308 IPC r/w 34 IPC @ 308, 324 IPC r/w 34 IPC
20	117/2011	147, 148, 307 IPC r/w 149 IPC and 3(a) of Explosive Substances Act.
21	218/2011	144, 150 IPC r/w 149 IPC and 27 of Arms Act
22	230/2011	294, 150, 506(ii) IPC r/w 34 IPC
23	156/2012	27 of Arms Act
24	38/2014	399, 402 IPC
25	871/2017	286 IPC r/w 4(1)(b) 5, 6 of Explosive Substances Act, 1908, r/w 109 IPC
26	475/2018	399 IPC
27	81/2018	147, 148, 120-B, 201, 302 IPC r/w 149 IPC

4. It is also stated in the I.R that the Station House Officer have received reliable information that the Counter Petitioner is desperate and is in dire need of money to maintain his rowdy gang to meet out in case trials and daily expenses. The petitioner further stated that the Counter Petitioner is not a law abiding person and therefore his criminal activities cannot be prevented by invoking the security proceedings under the BNSS.

5. The Petitioner further submitted that if the Counter Petitioner is allowed to reside in Muthialpet area, it is apprehended that he would continue to indulge in serious offences like attempt to murder, hurt, damages, assault and thereby emerged as a perennial source of threat to the community and disturb public peace and order. Hence, the Station House Officer, Muthialpet Police Station, Puducherry, has prayed to invoke provisions under section 163 BNSS against the Counter Petitioner do not to enter into the jurisdiction of this Court for a period of two months as to prevent danger to the human life and properties, and disturbance to the public tranquility and order.

6. The proceeding was started by affording an opportunity to the Counter Petitioner to show cause against the petition filed by the Petitioner. A notice was served to both the parties on 20-03-2026 to appear for the hearing on 31-03-2026 at 10.00 a.m. It was instructed to serve the notice to the Counter Petitioner through the Station House Officer, Muthialpet Police Station, Puducherry. The notice was received by the Counter Petitioner's wife Tmt. Mariammal. The Service was held sufficient for the Counter Petitioner.

7. On 31-03-2026, the Petitioner, represented by Thiru R. Ramou, Sub-Inspector, Muthialpet Police Station, Puducherry and the Counter Petitioner were present.

8. I have heard both the sides and carefully gone through all the available records in file placed by the Petitioner. In the Police Information Report / Petition, it is stated that the activities of Thiru Prabu @ Narayanan, is in such a manner that he may cause breach of peace and create a problem of law and order and public tranquility. He has involved in crimes such as murder, unlawful activities and disturbance to the public peace and order. There are various criminal cases were

registered against him. I have also heard the oral submission of the Counter Petitioner. The Counter Petitioner has stated that the Petitioner has filed this false case with an ulterior motive.

9. Upon hearing both sides and on perusal of the records submitted by the Petitioner, I am of the opinion that if the Counter Petitioner is allowed to reside within the limits of my jurisdiction, the Counter Petitioner will continue to indulge in and also motivate serious offences like murder, assault, annoyance, damages to properties, *etc.*, and thereby become a perennial source of threat to the community and disturb public peace and order. Such situations cannot be handled effectively by taking recourse to usual procedures contemplated by law and hence, it is necessary that special provision under section 163 of BNSS, is invoked to take action against the Counter Petitioner to prevent harm to life and properties of citizens and uphold public peace and order;

10. Hence, I, Dayanand Tendolkar, do hereby in the capacity of Subdivisional Magistrate (North), Puducherry, in exercise of powers conferred under the section 163 (1) of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita (BNSS), pass this order and prohibit the Counter Petitioner Thiru Prabu @ Narayanan, son of Ganesan, No. 17, Rajagopal Giramani Thottam, Chinnaiyapuram, Muthialpet, Puducherry, from entering into the region under the jurisdiction of the Subdivisional Magistrate Court (North), for a period of 15 (fifteen) days from the date of this order (in view of GEPLA - 2026) or till any further orders are passed by the Competent Authority revoking this prohibition as per law, whichever is earlier except when you are summoned to attend the cases in any of the Courts in Puducherry.

At Puducherry, dated on this 31st day of March, 2026 pronounced in the open Court.

DAYANAND TENDOLKAR,
Subdivisional Magistrate (North).

**IN THE COURT OF SUBDIVISIONAL MAGISTRATE (NORTH)
AT PUDUCHERRY**

Present : Thiru DAYANAND TENDOLKAR,
Subdivisional Magistrate (North).

M.C. No. 33/2026 of I.R. No. 61/2026, Under Section 163 BNSS/688

ORDER

(UNDER SECTION 163 BNSS)

State Represented by the Station House Officer,
Muthialpet Police Station,
Puducherry.

. . . Petitioner

Vs

Thiru Vengadesan,
S/o. Mohan,
No. 48, Palani Giramani Thottam,
Vazhaikulam, Muthialpet,
Puducherry.

. . . Counter Petitioner

This petition along with case sheet is filed by the Station House Officer, Muthialpet Police Station, praying to pass orders under section 163 BNSS against Thiru Vengadesan to prevent imminent danger to human lives and properties, and disturbance to the public tranquility and order, in the jurisdiction of this Court.

2. The Counter Petitioner Thiru Vengadesan, son of Mohan, No. 48, Palani Giramani Thottam, Vazhaikulam, Muthialpet, Puducherry.

3. *The facts which led up to the petition are briefly as follows* : The case submitted by the Station House Officer, Muthialpet Police Station, Puducherry, through the Superintendent of Police (East), Puducherry *vide* I.R. No. 61/2026, under section 163 of BNSS, dated 09-03-2026. Therein, it has been stated that the Counter Petitioner has formed a gang of rowdies and bent upon indulging in crime such as antisocial activities by using deadly weapons and disturbance to the public tranquility and order. The Counter Petitioner has created panic in the minds of local inhabitants and the business people in the Muthialpet Police Station limits. It is also stated that he is leading lavish life with the money earned from the public and business people by threatening. As a result the people of the locality being afraid of the Counter Petitioner do not come forward to lodge any complaint against him. In this connection, the Petitioner had stated that the following criminal cases were registered against the Counter Petitioner.

Sl. No.	Case No.	Sections
(1)	(2)	(3)
1	113/2007	324, 506(ii) IPC r/w 34 IPC
2	177/2007	448, 427, 323, 506(ii) IPC r/w 34 IPC
3	189/2007	34BB of PP Act
4	48/08	341, 326, 307, 201 IPC and 109 IPC r/w 34 IPC
5	185/09	294, 427, 506(ii) IPC r/w 34 IPC
6	02/2009	324, 506(i) IPC r/w 34 IPC
7	351/2009	27 Arms Act and 34 B-IX of PP Act
8	33/2010	27 Arms Act and 34 B-IX of PP Act
9	156/2010	147, 148, 302 IPC and 3 of Explosive Substances Act r/w 149 IPC
10	238/2010	147, 148, 307 IPC r/w 149 IPC
11	113/11	188 IPC
12	189/11	147, 148, 302, r/w 149 IPC
13	104/2012	27 of Arms Act and 34 B IX of PP Act
14	222/12	34 B IX and 34 El of PP Act, 506(ii) IPC r/w 34 IPC
15	02/14	188 IPC and 27(1) of Arms Act, 1959
16	26/15	12 of PG Act

(1)	(2)	(3)
17	40/2016	143, 341 IPC r/w 149 IPC
18	95/2020	341, 302 IPC r/w 34 IPC
19	68/2022	34 B IX and EI of PP Act and 506 (ii) IPC and 25 (I-B) (b) of Arms Act.

4. It is also stated in the I.R. that the Station House Officer have received reliable information that the Counter Petitioner is desperate and is in dire need of money to maintain his rowdy gang to meet out in case trials and daily expenses. The Petitioner further stated that the Counter Petitioner is not a law abiding person and therefore his criminal activities cannot be prevented by invoking the security proceedings under the BNSS.

5. The Petitioner further, submitted that if, the Counter Petitioner is allowed to reside in Muthialpet limits, it is apprehended that he would continue to indulge in serious offences like attempt to murder, hurt damages, assault and thereby emerged as a perennial source of threat to the community and disturb public peace and order. Hence, the Station House Officer, Muthialpet Police Station, Puducherry, has prayed to invoke provisions under section 163 BNSS against the Counter Petitioner to not to enter into the jurisdiction of this Court for a period of two months as to prevent danger to the human life and properties and disturbance to the public tranquility and order.

6. The proceeding was started by affording an opportunity to the Counter Petitioner to show cause against the petition filed by the Petitioner. A notice was served to both the parties on 20-03-2026 to appear for the hearing on 31-03-2026 at 10.00 a.m. It was instructed to serve the notice to the Counter Petitioner through the Station House Officer, Muthialpet Police Station, Puducherry. The notice was received by the Counter Petitioner.

7. On 31-03-2026, the Petitioner represented by Thiru R. Ramou, Sub-Inspector, Muthialpet Police Station, Puducherry and the Counter Petitioner were present. The Ld. Counsel, Mr. M. Rajesh, Ms. S. Roguiny filed vakalath and represented on behalf of the Counter Petitioner.

8. I have heard both the sides and carefully gone through all the available records in file placed by the Petitioner. In the Police Information Report/Petition, it is stated that the activities of Thiru Vengadesan is in such a manner that he may cause breach of peace and create a problem of law and order, and public tranquility. He has involved in crimes such as murder, unlawful activities and disturbance to the public peace and order. There are various criminal cases were registered against him. I have also heard the oral submissions of the Counter Petitioner. The Counter Petitioner has stated that the Petitioner has filed this false case with an ulterior motive.

9. Upon hearing both sides and on perusal of the records submitted by the Petitioner, I am of the opinion that if, the Counter Petitioner is allowed to reside within the limits of my jurisdiction, the Counter Petitioner will continue to indulge in and also motivate serious offences like murder, assault, annoyance, damages to properties, *etc.*, and thereby become a perennial source of threat to the community

and disturb public peace and order. Such situations cannot be handled effectively by taking recourse to usual procedures contemplated by law and hence, it is necessary that special provision under section 163 of BNSS is invoked to take action against the Counter Petitioner to prevent harm to life and properties of citizens and uphold public peace and order;

10. Hence, I, Dayanand Tendolkar, do hereby, in the capacity of Subdivisional Magistrate (North), Puducherry in exercise of powers conferred under the section 163(1) of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, pass this order and prohibit the Counter Petitioner Thiru Vengadesan, son of Mohan, No. 48, Palani Giramani Thottam, Vazhaikulam, Muthialpet, Puducherry, from entering into the region under the jurisdiction of the Subdivisional Magistrate Court (North) for a period of 15 (fifteen) days from the date of this order (in view of GEPLA-2026) or till any further orders are passed by the Competent Authority revoking this prohibition as per law, whichever is earlier except when you are summoned to attend the cases in any of the Courts in Puducherry.

At Puducherry, dated on this the 31st day of March, 2026 pronounced in the open Court.

DAYANAND TENDOLKAR,
Subdivisional Magistrate (North).

**IN THE COURT OF SUBDIVISIONAL MAGISTRATE (NORTH)
AT PUDUCHERRY**

Present : Thiru DAYANAND TENDOLKAR,
Subdivisional Magistrate (North).

M.C. No. 34/2026 of I.R. No. 62/2026, Under Section 163 BNSS/687

ORDER

(UNDER SECTION 163 BNSS)

State Represented by the Station House Officer,
Muthialpet Police Station,
Puducherry.

. . . Petitioner

Vs

Thiru Aravind (33),
S/o. Mohan,
No. 42, Palani Giramani Thottam,
Vazhaikulam, Muthialpet,
Puducherry.

. . . Counter Petitioner

This petition along with case sheet is filed by the Station House Officer, Muthialpet Police Station, Puducherry, praying to pass orders under section 163 BNSS against Thiru Aravind (33) to prevent imminent danger to human lives and properties, and disturbance to the public tranquility and order, in the jurisdiction of this Court.

2. The Counter Petitioner Thiru Aravind (33), son of Mohan, No. 42, Palani Giramani Thottam, Vazhaikulam, Muthialpet, Puducherry.

3. *The facts which led up to the petition are briefly as follows:* The case submitted by the Station House Officer, Muthialpet Police Station, Puducherry, through the Superintendent of Police (East), Puducherry *vide* I.R. No. 62/2026, under section 163 of BNSS, dated 10-03-2026. Therein, it has been stated that the Counter Petitioner has formed a gang of rowdies and bent upon indulging in crime such as antisocial activities by using deadly weapons and disturbance to the public tranquility and order. The Counter Petitioner has created panic in the minds of local inhabitants and the business people in the Muthialpet Police Station limits. It is also stated that he is leading lavish life with the money earned from the public and business people by threatening. As a result, the people of the locality being afraid of the Counter Petitioner do not come forward to lodge any complaint against him. In this connection, the Petitioner had stated that the following criminal cases were registered against the Counter Petitioner.

SI. No.	Case No.	Legal Sections Charged
(1)	(2)	(3)
1	253/08	34 BB of PP Act
2	02/09	324, 506(i) IPC r/w 34 IPC
3	297/09	34 E(i) of PP Act
4	185/09	294, 427, 506(ii) IPC r/w 34 IPC
5	238/10	147, 148, 307 IPC r/w 149 IPC
6	189/11	147, 148, 302 r/w 149 IPC
7	282/11	34 EI and 34 B IX of PP Act
8	311/11	27 of Arms Act and 34 B-IX of PP Act
9	33/12	147, 427, 324, 506(ii) IPC r/w 149 IPC
10	199/12	27 of Arms Act and 34 B-IX of PP Act
11	221/12	34 B IX and 34 EI of PP Act, 506(ii) IPC r/w 34 IPC
12	41/13	25 (1-A) of Arms Act
13	125/13	399, 402 IPC
14	22/14	399, 402 IPC
15	49/15	25(1-A) of Arms Act
16	95/2020	341, 302 IPC r/w 34 IPC
17	85/12	27 of Arms Act and 34 B-IX of PP Act

4. It is also stated in the I.R. that the Station House Officer have received reliable information that the Counter Petitioner is desperate and is in dire need of money to maintain his rowdy gang to meet out in case trials and daily expenses. The Petitioner further stated that the Counter Petitioner is not a law abiding person and therefore his criminal activities cannot be prevented by invoking the security proceedings under the BNSS.

5. The Petitioner further, submitted that if, the Counter Petitioner is allowed to reside in Muthialpet limits, it is apprehended that he would continue to indulge in serious offences like attempt to murder, hurt, damages, assault and thereby emerged as a perennial source of threat to the community and disturb public peace and order. Hence, the Station House Officer, Muthialpet Police Station has prayed to invoke provisions under section 163 BNSS against the Counter Petitioner to not to enter into the jurisdiction of this Court for a period of two months as to prevent danger to the human life and properties and disturbance to the public tranquility and order.

6. The proceeding was started by affording an opportunity to the Counter Petitioner to show cause against the petition filed by the Petitioner. A notice was served to both the parties on 20-03-2026 to appear for the hearing on 31-03-2026 at 10.00 a.m. It was instructed to serve the notice to the Counter Petitioner through the Station House Officer, Muthialpet Police Station, Puducherry. The notice was received by the Counter Petitioner.

7. On 31-03-2026, the Petitioner represented by Thiru R. Ramou, Sub-Inspector, Muthialpet Police Station, Puducherry and the Counter Petitioner were present. The Ld. Counsels, Mr. M. Rajesh, Ms. S. Roguiny filed vakalath and represented on behalf of the Counter Petitioner.

8. I have heard both the sides and carefully gone through all the available records in file placed by the Petitioner. In the Police Information Report/Petition, it is stated that the activities of Thiru Aravind (33) is in such a manner that he may cause breach of peace and create a problem of law and order and public tranquility. He has involved in crimes such as murder, unlawful activities and disturbance to the public peace and order. There are various criminal cases were registered against him. I have also heard the oral submissions of the Counter Petitioner. The Counter Petitioner has stated that the Petitioner has filed this false case with an ulterior motive.

9. Upon hearing both sides and on perusal of the records submitted by the Petitioner, I am of the opinion that if the Counter Petitioner is allowed to reside within the limits of my jurisdiction, the Counter Petitioner will continue to indulge in and also motivate serious offences like murder, assault, annoyance, damages to properties, *etc.*, and thereby become a perennial source of threat to the community and disturb public peace and order. Such situations cannot be handled effectively by taking recourse to usual procedures contemplated by law and hence, it is necessary that special provision under section 163 of BNSS is invoked to take action against the Counter Petitioner to prevent harm to life and properties of citizens and uphold public peace and order;

10. Hence, I, Dayanand Tendolkar, do hereby, in the capacity of Subdivisional Magistrate (North), Puducherry in exercise of powers conferred under the section 163(1) of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, pass this order and prohibit the Counter Petitioner Thiru Aravind (33), son of Mohan, No. 42, Palani Giramani Thottam, Vazhaikulam, Muthialpet, Puducherry, from entering into the region under the jurisdiction of the Subdivisional Magistrate Court (North) for a period of 15 (fifteen) days from the date of this Order (in view of GEPLA-2026) or

till any further orders are passed by the Competent Authority revoking this prohibition as per law, whichever is earlier except when you are summoned to attend the cases in any of the Courts in Puducherry.

At Puducherry, dated on this the 31st day of March, 2026 pronounced in the open Court.

DAYANAND TENDOLKAR,
Subdivisional Magistrate (North).

**IN THE COURT OF SUBDIVISIONAL MAGISTRATE (NORTH)
AT PUDUCHERRY**

Present : Thiru DAYANAND TENDOLKAR,
Subdivisional Magistrate (North).

M.C. No. 35/2026 of I.R. No. 63/2026, Under Section 163 BNSS/686

ORDER

(UNDER SECTION 163 BNSS)

State Represented by the Station House Officer,
Muthialpet Police Station,
Puducherry.

. . . Petitioner

Vs

Thiru Pennarasan @ Ten,
S/o. Karuppannasamy,
No. 4/A, Samy Mudaliar Street,
Muthialpet, Puducherry.

. . . Counter Petitioner

This petition along with case sheet is filed by the Station House Officer, Muthialpet Police Station, Puducherry, praying to pass orders under section 163 BNSS against Thiru Pennarasan @ Ten to prevent imminent danger to human lives and properties and disturbance to the public tranquility and order, in the jurisdiction of this Court.

2. The Counter Petitioner Pennarasan @ Ten, son of Karuppannasamy, No. 4/A, Samy Mudaliar Street, Muthialpet, Puducherry.

3. *The facts which led up to the petition are briefly as follows* : The case submitted by the Station House Officer, Muthialpet Police Station, Puducherry, through the Superintendent of Police (East), Puducherry *vide* I.R. No. 63/2026, under section 163 of BNSS, dated 10-03-2026. Therein, it has been stated that the Counter Petitioner has formed a gang of rowdies and bent upon indulging in crime such as antisocial activities by using deadly weapons and disturbance to the public tranquility and order. The Counter Petitioner has created panic in the minds of local inhabitants and the business people in the Muthialpet Police Station limits. It is also stated that he is leading lavish life with the money earned from the public and business people by threatening. As a result, the people of the locality being afraid of the Counter Petitioner do not come forward to lodge any complaint against him. In this connection, the Petitioner had stated that the following criminal cases were registered against the Counter Petitioner.

Sl. No.	Case No.	Sections
(1)	(2)	(3)
1	41/18	341, 307 IPC r/w 34 IPC
2	84/2020	307, 326 IPC r/w 34 IPC
3	03/2020	286, 324 IPC and 4 of Explosive Substance Act, 1908
4	73/2021	302 IPC

4. It is also stated in the I.R. that the Station House Officer have received reliable information that the Counter Petitioner is desperate and is in dire need of money to maintain his rowdy gang to meet out in case trials and daily expenses. The Petitioner further stated that the Counter Petitioner is not a law abiding person and therefore, his criminal activities cannot be prevented by invoking the security proceedings under the BNSS.

5. The Petitioner further, submitted that if, the Counter Petitioner is allowed to reside in Muthialpet limits, it is apprehended that he would continue to indulge in serious offences like attempt to murder, hurt, damages, assault and thereby emerged as a perennial source of threat to the community and disturb public peace and order. Hence, the Station House Officer, Muthialpet Police Station has prayed to invoke provisions under section 163 BNSS against the Counter Petitioner to not to enter into the jurisdiction of this Court for a period of two months as to prevent danger to the human life and properties and disturbance to the public tranquility and order.

6. The proceeding was started by affording an opportunity to the Counter Petitioner to show cause against the petition filed by the Petitioner. A notice was served to both the parties on 20-03-2026 to appear for the hearing on 31-03-2026 at 10.00 a.m. It was instructed to serve the notice to the Counter Petitioner through the Station House Officer, Muthialpet Police Station, Puducherry and it is informed by the Petitioner that the notice for the Counter Petitioner was affixed at his house. The Service was held sufficient for the Counter Petitioner.

7. On 31-03-2026, the Petitioner represented by Thiru R. Ramou, Sub-Inspector, Muthialpet Police Station was present. The Counter Petitioner has failed to appear before the Court on hearing date.

8. I have heard the Petitioner and carefully gone through all the available records in file placed by the Petitioner. In the Police Information Report/Petition, it is stated that the activities of Thiru Pennarasan @ Ten is in such a manner that he may cause breach of peace and create a problem of law and order and public tranquility. He has involved in crimes such as murder, unlawful activities and disturbance to the public peace and order. There are 4 criminal cases were registered against him.

9. On perusal of the records submitted by the Petitioner, I am of the opinion that if the Counter Petitioner is allowed to reside within the limits of my jurisdiction, the Counter Petitioner will continue to indulge in and also motivate serious offences like murder, assault, annoyance, damages to properties, *etc.*, and thereby become a

perennial source of threat to the community and disturb public peace and order. Such situations cannot be handled effectively by taking recourse to usual procedures contemplated by law and hence, it is necessary that special provision under section 163 of BNSS is invoked to take action against the Counter Petitioner to prevent harm to life and properties of citizens and uphold public peace and order.

10. Hence, I, Dayanand Tendolkar, do hereby, in the capacity of Subdivisional Magistrate (North), Puducherry in exercise of powers conferred under the section 163(1) of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, pass this order and prohibit the Counter Petitioner Thiru Pennarasan @ Ten, son of Karuppannasamy, No. 4/A, Samy Mudaliar Street, Muthialpet, Puducherry, from entering into the region under the jurisdiction of the Subdivisional Magistrate Court (North) for a period of 15 (fifteen) days from the date of this order (in view of GEPLA-2026) or till any further orders are passed by the Competent Authority revoking this prohibition as per law, whichever is earlier except when you are summoned to attend the cases in any of the Courts in Puducherry.

At Puducherry, dated on this 31st day of March, 2026 pronounced in the open Court.

DAYANAND TENDOLKAR,
Subdivisional Magistrate (North).

**IN THE COURT OF SUBDIVISIONAL MAGISTRATE (NORTH)
AT PUDUCHERRY**

Present : Thiru DAYANAND TENDOLKAR,
Subdivisional Magistrate (North).

M.C. No. 37/2026 of I.R. No. 67/2026, Under Section 163 BNSS/685

ORDER

(UNDER SECTION 163 BNSS)

State Represented by the Station House Officer,
Muthialpet Police Station,
Puducherry.

. . . Petitioner

Vs

Thiru Johnson,
S/o. Pascal Christian,
No. 63, Fransuva Martine Street,
Kurusukuppam, Puducherry.

. . . Counter Petitioner

This petition along with case sheet is filed by the Station House Officer, Muthialpet Police Station, Puducherry, praying to pass orders under section 163 BNSS against Thiru Johnson to prevent imminent danger to human lives and properties and disturbance to the public tranquility and order, in the jurisdiction of this Court.

2. The Counter Petitioner Johnson, son of Pascal Christian, No. 63, Fransuva Martine Street, Kurusukuppam, Puducherry.

3. The facts which led up to the petition are briefly as follows: The case submitted by the Station House Officer, Muthialpet Police Station, Puducherry, through the Superintendent of Police (East), Puducherry *vide* I.R. No. 67/2026, under section 163 of BNSS, dated 11-03-2026. Therein, it has been stated that the Counter Petitioner has formed a gang of rowdies and bent upon indulging in crime such as antisocial activities by using deadly weapons and disturbance to the public tranquility and order. The Counter Petitioner has created panic in the minds of local inhabitants and the business people in the Muthialpet Police Station limits. It is also stated that he is leading lavish life with the money earned from the public and business people by threatening. As a result the people of the locality being afraid of the Counter Petitioner do not come forward to lodge any complaint against him. In this connection, the Petitioner had stated that the following criminal cases were registered against the Counter Petitioner.

Sl. No.	Case No.	Sections
(1)	(2)	(3)
1	107/2017	147, 148, 307 IPC r/w 149 IPC
2	58/18	27(i) of Arms Act, 1959 and 506(ii) IPC
3	101/2025	296, 115(2), 118(1), 351(3) r/w 3(5) BNS

4. It is also stated in the I.R. that the Station House Officer have received reliable information that the Counter Petitioner is desperate and is in dire need of money to maintain his rowdy gang to meet out in case trials and daily expenses. The Petitioner further stated that the Counter Petitioner is not a law abiding person and therefore, his criminal activities cannot be prevented by invoking the security proceedings under the BNSS.

5. The Petitioner further submitted that if, the Counter Petitioner is allowed to reside in Muthialpet limits, it is apprehended that he would continue to indulge in serious offences like attempt to murder, hurt, damages, assault and thereby emerged as a perennial source of threat to the community and disturb public peace and order. Hence, the Station House Officer, Muthialpet Police Station, Puducherry, has prayed to invoke provisions under section 163 BNSS against the Counter Petitioner to not to enter into the jurisdiction of this Court for a period of two months as to prevent danger to the human life and properties and disturbance to the public tranquility and order.

6. The proceeding was started by affording an opportunity to the Counter Petitioner to show cause against the petition filed by the Petitioner. A notice was served to both the parties on 20-03-2026 to appear for the hearing on 31-03-2026 at 10.00 a.m. It was instructed to serve the notice to the Counter Petitioner through the Station House Officer, Muthialpet Police Station, Puducherry. The notice for the Counter Petitioner was received by his father, Thiru Pascal. The service was held sufficient for the Counter Petitioner.

7. On 31-03-2026, the Petitioner represented by Thiru R. Ramou, Sub-Inspector, Muthialpet Police Station, Puducherry and the Counter Petitioner were present. The Ld. Counsel, Mr. V. Raman filed vakalath and represented on behalf of the Counter Petitioner.

8. I have heard both the sides and carefully gone through all the available records in file placed by the Petitioner. In the Police Information Report/Petition, it is stated that the activities of Thiru Johnson is in such a manner that he may cause breach of peace and create a problem of law and order and public tranquility. He has involved in crimes such as attempt to murder, unlawful activities and disturbance to the public peace and order. There are 3 criminal cases were registered against him. I have also heard the oral submissions of the Counter Petitioner. The Counter Petitioner has stated that the Petitioner has filed this false case with an ulterior motive.

9. Upon hearing both sides and on perusal of the records submitted by the Petitioner, I am of the opinion that if, the Counter Petitioner is allowed to reside within the limits of my jurisdiction, the Counter Petitioner will continue to indulge in and also motivate serious offences like murder, assault, annoyance, damages to properties, *etc.*, and thereby become a perennial source of threat to the community and disturb public peace and order. Such situations cannot be handled effectively by taking recourse to usual procedures contemplated by law and hence, it is necessary that special provision under section 163 of BNSS is invoked to take action against the Counter Petitioner to prevent harm to life and properties of citizens and uphold public peace and order;

10. Hence, I, Dayanand Tendolkar, do hereby, in the capacity of Subdivisional Magistrate (North), Puducherry in exercise of powers conferred under the section 163(1) of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, pass this order and prohibit the Counter Petitioner Thiru Johnson, son of Pascal Christian, No. 63, Fransuva Martin Street, Kurusukuppam, Puducherry, from entering into the region under the jurisdiction of the Subdivisional Magistrate Court (North) for a period of 15 (fifteen) days from the date of this order (in view of GEPLA-2026) or till any further orders are passed by the Competent Authority revoking this prohibition as per law, whichever is earlier except when you are summoned to attend the cases in any of the Courts in Puducherry.

At Puducherry, dated on this 31st day of March, 2026 pronounced in the open Court.

DAYANAND TENDOLKAR,
Subdivisional Magistrate (North).

**IN THE COURT OF SUBDIVISIONAL MAGISTRATE (NORTH)
AT PUDUCHERRY**

Present : Thiru DAYANAND TENDOLKAR,
Subdivisional Magistrate (North).

M.C. No. 53/2026 of I.R. No. 30/2026, Under Section 163 BNSS/690

ORDER

(UNDER SECTION 163 BNSS)

State Represented by the Station House Officer,
Kalapet Police Station,
Puducherry.

. . . Petitioner

Vs

Thiru Gowthaman (28),
S/o. Narayanan,
No. 52, Thideer Nagar, Chinnakalpet,
Puducherry.

. . . Counter Petitioner

This petition along with case sheet filed by Station House Officer, Kalapet Police Station, Puducherry, praying to pass orders under section 163 BNSS against Thiru Gowthaman to prevent imminent danger to human lives and properties and disturbance to the public tranquility and order, in the jurisdiction of this Court.

2. The Counter Petitioner Gowthaman (28), son of Narayanan, is a resident of No. 52, Thideer Nagar, Chinnakalpet, Puducherry.

3. The facts which led up to the petition are briefly as follows: The case referred by the Station House Officer, Kalapet Police Station, Puducherry, through the Superintendent of Police (East), Puducherry *vide* I.R. No. 30/2026, under section 163 of BNSS, dated 18-02-2026 that you have formed a gang of rowdies and bent upon indulging in crime such as murder, attempt to murder, grievous hurt and disturbance to the public peace and tranquility and you have created panic in the minds of local inhabitants in the Police Station limits. As a result the people of the locality being afraid of you do not come forward to lodge any complaint against him. It is also stated that even witnesses don't come forward voluntarily to give evidence against him. In this connection, the petitioner had stated that the following criminal cases were registered against the Counter Petitioner.

Sl. No.	Crime No. and Year	Sections of Law	Police Station	Stage of the case
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
1	57/2015	147, 148, 341, 427, 324, 307, 506(ii) r/w 149 IPC	Kalapet Police Station	Pending Trial
2	58/2016	294(b), 324, 506(II) IPC r/w 34 IPC @ 143, 147, 148, 294(b), 307, 506(III) IPC r/w 149 IPC	Kalapet Police Station	Acquitted on 08-02-2011 <i>vide</i> CC No. 87/11.
3	629/2016	147, 148, 294(b), 323, 324, 307 IPC	Valathy Police Station	Pending Trial
4	115/2017	326, 506(ii) r/w 34 IPC	Kalapet Police Station	Pending Trial
5	306/2019	147, 148, 294(b), 341, 324, 120(b), 307, 302 IPC	Kottakuppam Police Station	Pending Trial
6	73/2021	147, 148, 341, 302 IPC	Neelangerai Police Station	Pending Trial
7	275/2022	147, 148, 29(B), 323, 324, 307 IPC	Valathy Police Station	Pending Trial
8	35/2024	294(b), 387, 506(ii) IPC	Marakkanam Police Station	Pending Trial

4. The Petitioner has also submitted that the Counter Petitioner is not a law abiding citizen he has often violated the conditions imposed by the Court while he was released on bail. He is very active and therefore his criminal activities cannot be prevented by invoking the mere security proceedings under the BNSS.

5. The Petitioner also submitted that the Counter Petitioner threaten the business people in the Puducherry area and extort money from them by threatening. It is further, stated that you are involving in various offences by using deadly weapons and also earned displeasure among a section of other rowdy group in the area on account of the grudge against you and hence, rival group is also waiting opportunity to take revenge upon you.

6. The Petitioner further, submitted that if, you are allowed to reside in Puducherry limits, it is apprehended that the Counter Petitioner would continue to indulge in serious offences like murder, assault, obstructions, annoyance, damages to public and private properties, *etc.*, and thereby emerged as a perennial source of threat to the community and disturb public peace and order. Hence, the Station House Officer, Kalapet Police Station, Puducherry, has prayed to invoke provisions under section 163 BNSS against you not to enter into the jurisdiction of this Court for a period of two months as to prevent danger to the human life and properties and disturbance to the public tranquility and order.

7. The proceeding was started by affording an opportunity to the Counter Petitioner to show cause against the petition filed by the Petitioner. A notice was served to both the parties on 20-03-2026 to appear for the hearing on 24-03-2026 at 10.00 a.m. and subsequent hearing on 25-03-2026. It was instructed to serve the notice to the Counter Petitioner through the Station House Officer, Kalapet Police Station, Puducherry. The notice for the Counter Petitioner was received by his relative, Tmt. Meenatchi. The service was held sufficient for the Counter Petitioner.

8. On 25-03-2026, the Station House Officer, Kalapet Police Station, Puducherry, was present. The Counter Petitioner has failed to appear before the Court on hearing date.

9. I have heard the Petitioner and carefully gone through all the available records placed before me. In the Police Information Report/Petition, it is stated that Thiru Gowthaman's activity was in such a manner that he may cause breach of peace and create a problem of law and order and public tranquility. He has involved in crimes such as murder, grievous hurt, assaulting with deadly weapons, unlawful activities causing damage to public property, obstructions and annoyance, and disturb public peace and order. There are various criminal cases were registered against him at various Police Stations.

10. On perusal of the records submitted by the Petitioner, I am of the opinion that if, the Counter Petitioner is allowed to reside within the limits of my jurisdiction, Counter Petitioner will continue to indulge in and also motivate serious offences like murder, hurt, assault, obstructions, annoyance, damages to public and private properties, *etc.*, and thereby become a perennial source of threat to the community and disturb public peace and order. Such situations cannot be handled effectively by taking recourse to usual procedures contemplated by law and hence,

it is necessary that special provision under section 163 of BNSS is invoked to take action against the Counter Petitioner to prevent harm to life and properties of citizens and uphold public peace and order.

11. Hence, I, Dayanand Tendolkar, do hereby, in the capacity of Subdivisional Magistrate (North), Puducherry in exercise of powers conferred under the section 163 (1) of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita (BNSS), pass this order and prohibit the Counter Petitioner Thiru Gowthaman (28), son of Narayanan, No. 52, Thideer Nagar, Chinnakalpet, Puducherry, from entering into the region under the jurisdiction of the Subdivisional Magistrate Court (North) for a period of two months from the date of this order (in view of GEPLA-2026) or till any further orders are passed by the Competent Authority revoking this prohibition as per law, whichever is earlier except when you are summoned to attend the cases in any of the Courts in Puducherry.

At Puducherry, dated on this 25th day of March, 2026 pronounced in the open Court.

DAYANAND TENDOLKAR,
Subdivisional Magistrate (North).